

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/938,528 08/27/2001		Koji Arita	740-819-634	2800	
22204	7590	09/11/2002			
NIXON PEA			EXAMINER		
8180 GREEN SUITE 800	SBORO D	RIVE	BLUM, DAVID S		
MCLEAN, VA 22102					
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2813	0
				DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002	δ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Un	_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/938,528	ARITA ET AL.				
Offic Acti n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
٠	David S Blum	2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e. cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	<u>July 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ TI	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	rance except for formal matters, Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the merits is , 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,4 and 5 is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		24 2 4 12 45				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	3(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<del></del>						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince of the prince of the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•					
a) The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional application has been	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/938,528

Art Unit: 2813

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Razouk (US005581110A).

Razouk teaches all of the positive steps of claims 1 and 4-5 in that a semiconductor layer (layers 106 and 108) are formed on first insulating film (104) and element-isolating groove (302) extends to the first insulating film. A thin oxide film (602) is formed by oxidizing the semiconductor layer at a wall surface of the groove (column 4 lines 35-40 and figure 6) as in claim 4. the oxide layer is 500 angstroms as in claim 5. A second insulating layer (702) is formed in the groove to cover the oxide layer 602 (as in claim 4) so as to partially fill the trench (figure 7). The second insulating layer is formed by a vapor deposition method (column 4 lines 65-67). An embedded layer (802) is formed on the second insulating layer so as to completely fill the trench (figure 8). A third insulating film (1302) is formed on the embedded layer (figure 13) using a vapor deposition method (column 6 lines 57-59). The background of the invention (column 1 lines 10-11) recites "The present invention relates to the fabrication of integrated circuits having trenches,". Thus Razouk anticipates a plurality of trenches separating devices. Thus one in the art would recognize Razouk as having another isolation groove adjacent the

Application/Control Number: 09/938,528

Page 3

Art Unit: 2813

first groove in an area that is not an element formation region (using isolation trenches to isolate device elements, conventional practice (column 1 line 23)). As Razouk teaches covering the trench with layer 1302, it is obvious that the second trench would be covered also.

## Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,528

Art Unit: 2813

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David S. Blum whose telephone number is (703)-306-

9168 and e-mail address is <a href="mailto:David.blum@USPTO.gov">David.blum@USPTO.gov</a>.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached at (703)-306-2794. Our facsimile number

for Before-Final Communications is (703)- 308-7722 and for After-Final

Communications is (703)-872-9319. Our receptionist's number is (703)-308-0956.

David S. Blum

September 4, 2002

Olik Chaudhuri Supervisory Patent Examiner

OH Chaush

Page 4

Technology Center 2800